



County Wide Plan for Older Adults Implementation

July 24, 2017
Alameda County Board of Supervisors
Social Services Committee

PRESENTATION OVERVIEW

- 2017 Mid Year Update: Accomplishments in Older Adults Programs and Services
- Recap since April 24th Joint Health & Social Services
 Committee Meeting and BOS Directive
- Original vs. New Proposal
- Proposed Next Steps
- Questions & Feedback

2017 MID-YEAR UPDATE

ACCOMPLISHMENTS IN OLDER ADULT PROGRAMS AND SERVICES

SSA and HCSA continue to work collaboratively & within Agency, to prioritize the needs of older adults

- Legislative tracking and advocacy
- Senior injury prevention, including new resource guide
 2nd year of evidence-based practice
- Homelessness
 - Expanded access to IHSS
 - Public/private action on encampments

2017 MID-YEAR UPDATE

ACCOMPLISHMENTS IN OLDER ADULT PROGRAMS AND SERVICES

- Collaboration with SF County palliative care/hospice steering committee with foundation support
- Working with safety net on older adult concerns
- Second year of expanded services through Measure A
 - □ Home Visitation Nurse Case Management
 - Advance Health Care Planning and Hospice Getting the Most Out of Life Program
 - ☐ Injury Prevention & Meals/Nutrition

2017 MID-YEAR UPDATE

ACCOMPLISHMENTS IN OLDER ADULT PROGRAMS AND SERVICES

- AC Care Connect (Whole Person Care Pilot) scaling up and including elements for older adults
- Data analysis to inform expansion and targeting of programs and services
 - ☐ Fund Development Needs Assessment
 - Alzheimer's Disease and Related Disorders in Alameda County Analysis

RECAP SINCE APRIL 24TH JOINT HEALTH & SOCIAL SERVICES COMMITTEE

- ☐ The Board directed staff to explore alternatives to their proposal for a new Board-appointed commission
- County staff and community partners had multiple meetings with Board members and each other
- Consensus reached leading to today's proposal, aligned with BOS directive

APRIL VS. NEW PROPOSAL

April: New Board- Appointed Council	Explored: Merge into Aging Commission	Today: Agency-Led Working Group
Brown Act	Brown Act	Non-Brown Act
22 seats, set composition, Board appoints	Probably would need 26 seats, to add to existing body	More flexible, iterative, Agency heads appoint
Board action required	Board action required to amend Aging Commission Bylaws	No Board action required
Free-standing from Aging Commission	Merged with Aging Commission	Propose to create linkages to Aging Commission
Reports to BOS directly through ordinance	Reports to BOS directly through ordinance	More flexible to align work with Aging Commission; reports to BOS as requested
Pro: Planning Committee recommendation Con: Highest overhead	Pro: Reduced overhead Con: Majority must be 60+, which could constrain recruitment; possible tension adding new people and mandate	Pro: Reduced overhead, maximum flexibility Con: Not public (but mitigate by ordinance linking Aging Commission)

PROPOSED NEXT STEPS

- Kick-off meeting of engaged partners August 11
- Begin seating Council, including subcommittees 2017
 - Goal is inclusion
 - Ample opportunity for BOS and community to engage
 - ☐ Iterative process during start up to ensure participation
 - Monthly meetings to move work forward

QUESTIONS & FEEDBACK

